Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁: A New Member (n = 2) of the Perovskite-Related $A_{3n+3}A'_{n}B_{3+n}O_{9+6n}$ Family

J. Campá,* E. Gutiérrez-Puebla,† A. Monge,†,1 I. Rasines,† and C. Ruíz-Valero†

*Facultad de Ciencias Geológicas, UCM, E-28040 Madrid, Spain; and †Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, CSIC, Campus de Cantoblanco, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

Received March 5, 1996; accepted May 30, 1996

Crystals of a new phase Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁ were grown. This compound is the n = 2 member of the $A_{3n+3}A'_nB_{n+3}O_{6n+9}$ series. The composition and the crystal structure have been established from X-ray single crystal diffraction data. The structure contains face-shared chains of NiO₆ polyhedra parallel to the c axis and is related with the hexagonal 2H polytype perovskite. Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁ crystallizes in the space group R3c (No. 167) with a = 9.467(2) Å, c = 35.87(5) Å, V = 2784.(4) Å³, and Z = 6. A comparison is made between the structure of the title compound and the other members of the series. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Compounds whose structures are built up by the stacking of layers derived from ABO_3 perovskites have been largely studied and structural relationships between them have been recently reported (1, 2). One series belonging to this large family of compounds is the one that results from the stacking of mixed $[A_3O_9]$ and $[A_3A'O_6]$ layers, generically formulated as $A_{3n+3}A'_nB_{n+3}O_{6n+9}$.

A good number of oxides containing 4*d* and 5*d* elements crystallize in structures included in this series (3, 4). To our knowledge not many compounds in which A' and Bpositions are occupied by the same 3*d* cation have been studied. Sr₁₂Ni_{7.5}O₂₇ (5) is the n = 3 member of the series, and Ba₆Ni₅O₁₅, reported by (6), corresponds to n = 1. The aim of this work is to present the synthesis and the crystal structure of Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁, the n = 2 member of this series.

EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis and crystal growth. Crystals of $Sr_9Ni_{6.64}O_{21}$ were grown in Ni crucibles ($\phi = 30 \text{ mm}, h = 32 \text{ mm}$) from analytical grade reagents, mixing 1 g of $Sr(OH)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$, 0.5 g of NiO, and 3 g of KOH. After this mixture was heated to 880°C and soaked for 2 h, the power to the furnace was turned off. The crystals were then washed with distilled cold water and dried.

TABLE 1

X-ray structure determination. A black prismatic crys-

tal was mounted in a kappa diffractometer. A summary

of the fundamental crystal data is given in Table 1. The

cell dimensions were refined by least-squares fitting the θ

values of 25 reflections. Systematic extinctions are not very

clear in this kind of compound whose structures are all of

them ABO_3 superstructures. Extinctions can even vary

from one crystal to another within the same phase. After

Crystallographic	Parameters	for	Sr ₉ Ni ₆	0.64 O_{21}
------------------	------------	-----	---------------------------------	-----------------

С	rystal data
Formula	Sr ₉ Ni _{6.64} O ₂₁
Formula weight, g mol ⁻¹	1514.3
Crystal system	Trigonal
Space group	$R\overline{3}c$ (No. 167)
Cell dimensions	
<i>a</i> , Å	9.467(2)
<i>c</i> , Å	35.87(5)
Ζ	6
$V, Å^3$	2784.(4)
$ ho_{ m calcd}, { m g} { m cm}^{-3}$	5.5
Crystal dimensions, mm ³	$0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.25$

Data collection	
Radiation	Mo <i>K</i> α (λ = 0.71069 Å)
Temperature, °K	295
Scan technique	$\omega/2\theta$
2θ range, °	1-50
Data collected	(0, 0, 0) to (11, 11, 42)
Unique data	650
Observed reflections $I > 2\sigma(I)$	189
<i>R</i> _{int} , %	4.2
F(000)	4236
μ (Mo $K\alpha$), cm ⁻¹	319

Structure solution and re	efinement
$R(F)^a$	R = 0.069
$R_{ m w}(F)^b$	$R_{\rm w} = 0.068$
Weighting scheme	unit
Maximum shift/error	0.076
Absorption correction range	0.86-1.11
Maximum shift/error Absorption correction range	0.076 0.86–1.11

 $^{a}R = \Sigma |\Delta F| / \Sigma |F_{o}|.$

^b $R_{\rm w} = (\Sigma w (|F_{\rm o}| |F_{\rm c}|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_{\rm o}|^2)^{1/2}.$

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

				9 0.04 21	
Atom	\mathbf{W}^{a}	x/a	y/b	z/c	$U_{ m eq}{}^b$
Sr1	36 <i>f</i>	0.647(1)	0.005(1)	0.1417(2)	11(1)
Sr2	18e	0.321(1)	0.0	0.75	7(1)
Ni1	6b	0.0	0.0	0.0	10(13)
Ni2	12c	0.0	0.0	0.069(1)	18(6)
Ni3	12c	0.0	0.0	0.2154(6)	3(7)
Ni4 ^c	12c	0.0	0.0	0.360(2)	64(13)
01	36 <i>f</i>	0.521(8)	0.198(6)	0.369(2)	25(25)
O2	36 <i>f</i>	0.834(5)	-0.002(5)	0.315(1)	5(10)
O3	18e	-0.166(7)	-0.166(0)	0.25	12(25)
O4	36 <i>f</i>	0.525(12)	0.327(16)	0.439(3)	118(47)

 TABLE 2

 Atomic Coordinates and Thermal Parameters for Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁

^a Wyckoff letter.

^b $U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} (\Sigma_i \Sigma_j \ U_{ij} \ a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_j) \ 10^3.$

^c Fractional site occupancy.

checking a good number of crystals, absences in hkl when $\overline{h} + k + l = 3n + 1$ and $h\overline{h}0l$ when l = 2n + 1 were assumed. The data collection was performed at 295 K. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization

effects. Scattering factors for neutral atoms and anomalous dispersion corrections for Ni and Sr were taken from the "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography" (8). The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods.

 TABLE 3

 Selected Interatomic Distances (Å), Angles (deg), and Polyhedral Edge Lengths (Å) for Sr in Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁

Sr1	O 1 ¹	O1 ²	O1 ³	O2 ²	O2 ⁴	O2 ⁵	O3 ⁶	O4 ⁷		
$O1^1$	<u>2.79</u>	63	63	73	121	90	151	129		
$O1^2$	2.87	<u>2.71</u>	51	132	133	71	92	124		
$O1^3$	2.87	2.31	<u>2.71</u>	95	176	121	114	84		
$O2^2$	3.08	4.66	3.77	<u>2.39</u>	84	126	135	73		
$O2^4$	4.77	4.94	5.40	3.41	<u>2.69</u>	61	64	91		
$O2^5$	3.77	3.08	4.61	4.40	2.69	<u>2.56</u>	67	142		
O36	5.16	3.77	4.41	4.57	2.80	2.82	<u>2.79</u>	77		
$O4^7$	4.71	4.54	3.46	2.86	3.68	4.72	3.11	<u>2.44</u>		
Sr2	O1 ⁸	O19	O2 ¹⁰	O2 ¹¹	O3 ¹²	O3 ¹³	O4 ⁸	O4 ⁹	O4 ¹⁴	O4 ¹⁵
O1 ⁸	2.56	129	71	143	133	93	61	78	63	109
O19	4.61	2.56	143	71	93	133	78	61	109	63
$O2^{10}$	3.08	5.04	<u>2.76</u>	115	62	63	131	101	108	81
$O2^{11}$	5.04	3.08	4.67	<u>2.76</u>	63	62	101	131	81	108
O3 ¹²	4.75	3.78	2.80	2.82	2.63	62	164	114	127	68
O3 ¹³	3.78	4.75	2.82	2.80	2.72	<u>2.63</u>	114	164	68	127
$O4^8$	2.79	3.46	5.16	4.37	5.48	4.63	<u>2.90</u>	75	46	119
$O4^9$	3.46	2.79	4.37	5.16	4.63	5.48	3.53	<u>2.90</u>	119	46
O4 ¹⁴	2.90	4.48	4.60	3.68	4.98	3.11	2.26	5.02	<u>2.92</u>	165
O4 ¹⁵	4.48	2.90	3.68	4.60	3.11	4.98	5.02	2.26	5.79	<u>2.92</u>

Note. Standard deviation involving Sr is 0.08 Å and 2.7°. Symmetry code: 1. $(-y) + \frac{2}{3}$, $(-x) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3} - 1$; 2. (x - y), (-y), $(-z + \frac{1}{2})$; 3. (-x) + 1, (-x + y), $(-z + \frac{1}{2})$; 4. $(-x + y) + \frac{2}{3} + 1$, $(y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3} - 1$; 5. $(x) - \frac{1}{3}$, $(x - y) - \frac{2}{3}$, $(z + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{2}{3}$; 6. $(x - y) + \frac{2}{3}$, $(x) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(-z) + \frac{1}{3}$; 7. $(x) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(z) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$; 8. $(-x + y) + \frac{2}{3}$, $(-x) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(z) + \frac{1}{3}$; 9. $(y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(x) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(-z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{2}{3} + 1$; 10. (-x) + 1, (-y), (-z) + 1; 11. (-x + y) + 1, (y), $(z + \frac{1}{2})$; 12. (-x), (-y), (-z) + 1; 13. (x - y); (x), (-z) + 1; 14. $(x) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(y) + \frac{1}{3} - 1$, $(z) + \frac{1}{3}$; 15. $(x - y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(-y) + \frac{2}{3}$, $(-z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{2}{3}$.

Ni1	O1 ¹	O1 ²	O1 ³	O1 ⁴	O1 ⁵	O1 ⁶
O1 ¹	<u>1.84</u>	180	78	102	78	102
$O1^2$	3.68	<u>1.84</u>	102	78	102	78
$O1^3$	2.31	2.87	<u>1.84</u>	180	78	102
$O1^4$	2.87	2.31	3.68	<u>1.84</u>	102	77
$O1^5$	2.31	2.87	2.31	2.87	<u>1.84</u>	180
O16	2.87	2.31	2.87	2.31	3.68	<u>1.84</u>
Ni2	$O1^1$	O1 ³	O1 ⁵	O4 ¹	O4 ³	O4 ⁵
O1 ¹	<u>1.79</u>	81	81	100	105	174
$O1^3$	2.31	<u>1.79</u>	81	174	100	105
O1 ⁵	2.31	2.31	<u>1.79</u>	105	174	100
$O4^1$	2.79	3.65	2.90	<u>1.86</u>	75	75
$O4^3$	2.90	2.79	3.65	2.26	<u>1.86</u>	75
O4 ⁵	3.65	2.89	2.79	2.26	2.26	<u>1.86</u>
Ni3	O2 ⁷	O2 ⁸	O2 ⁹	O3	O3 ¹⁰	O3 ¹¹
O2 ⁷	<u>1.90</u>	89	90	92	92	177
$O2^8$	2.66	<u>1.90</u>	90	92	177	93
$O2^9$	2.70	2.70	<u>1.90</u>	177	92	92
O3	2.80	2.79	3.90	<u>2.00</u>	86	86
O3 ¹⁰	2.82	3.88	2.80	2.72	2.00	86
O3 ¹¹	3.90	2.81	2.82	2.72	2.72	<u>2.00</u>
Ni4	O2 ¹²	O2 ¹³	O2 ¹⁴	O4 ¹⁵	O4 ¹⁶	O4 ¹⁷
O2 ¹²	2.25	74	74	136	89	140
O2 ¹³	2.71	<u>2.25</u>	74	89	140	136
O2 ¹⁴	2.71	2.71	2.25	140	137	89
O4 ¹⁵	3.75	2.86	3.80	<u>1.79</u>	78	78
O4 ¹⁶	2.86	3.82	3.77	2.26	1.79	78
O4 ¹⁷	3.80	3.77	2.86	2.26	2.26	<u>1.79</u>

TABLE 4Selected Interatomic Distances (Å), Angles (deg), and PolyhedralEdge Lengths (Å) for Ni in Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁

Note. Standard deviation involving Ni atoms is 0.08 Å and 2.4°. Symmetry code: 1. $(x) + \frac{1}{3} - 1$, $(y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(z) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$; 2. $(-x) + \frac{2}{3}$, $(-y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(-z) + \frac{1}{3}$; 3. $(-y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(x - y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(z) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$; 4. $(y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(-x + y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(-z) + \frac{1}{3}$; 5. $(-x + y) + \frac{1}{3}$, $(-x) + \frac{2}{3}$, $(z) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$; 6. $(x - y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(y) + \frac{1}{3} - 1$, $(-z) + \frac{1}{3}$; 7. $(y), (x) - 1, (-z + \frac{1}{2})$; 8. (x - y) - 1, $(-y), (-z + \frac{1}{2})$; 9. (-x) + 1, (-x + y) + 1, $(z + \frac{1}{2})$; 10. (-y), (x - y), (z); 11. (-x + y), (-y), (z); 12. (x) - 1, (y), (z); 13. (-y), (x - y) - 1, (z); 14. (-x + y) + 1, (-x) + 1, (z); 15. $(y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(x) + \frac{1}{3} - 1, (-z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3}$; 16. $(x - y) + \frac{2}{3} - 1$, $(-y) + \frac{1}{3}, (-z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3}$; 17. $(-x) + \frac{2}{3}, (-x + y) + \frac{1}{3}, (-z + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3}$.

An empirical absorption correction was applied at the end of the isotropic refinements (9). The maximum and minimum absorption factors were 1.11 and 0.86. The centrosymmetric $R\overline{3}c$ space group was obtained during the course of the refinement. Due to the high values of the Ni4 and O4 thermal parameters, population factors of these atoms were refined. After several cycles of refinement this factor fell to 0.82(7) and remained unchanged for Ni4. In the case of O4 it remains around the unit, no matter the number of cycles or the fixed value of the isotropic temperature factor introduced. A final isotropic refinement was undertaken with unit weights. No trend in ΔF vs F_0 or sin θ/λ was observed. Final difference synthesis showed no significant electron density.

Most of the calculations were carried out with the "XRay80 System" (10).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Atomic position coordinates and temperature factors as well as main interatomic distances and angles for $Sr_9Ni_{6,64}O_{21}$ are included in Tables 2, 3, and 4.



FIG. 1. View of the layer stackings in $A_{3n+3}A'_n B_{n+3}O_{6n+9}$ for Ba₆Ni₅O₁₅, n = 1; Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁, n = 2; and Sr₁₂Ni_{7.5}O₂₇, n = 3.

Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁ is a new trigonal phase with mixed $[A_3O_6]$ and $[A_3A'O_6]$ layers in which A = Sr, and A' = Ni. Thus the parameters of the unit cell are $a = a' \cdot \sqrt{3} = 9.467(2)$ Å, a' being the cell parameter of the hexagonal perovskite (11) and c = 35.87(5) Å as consequence of the octahedral sites created between the stacked layers.

As mentioned before, this family of compounds has been represented by the general formula $A_{3n+3}A'_nB_{n+3}O_{6n+9}$, where *n* is the number of consecutive $[A_3A'O_6]$ layers in the sequence. Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁ is the new member of the series that corresponds to n = 2. Thus, the structure is conceived as the result of stacking infinite layers perpendicular to the **c** axis in the sequence one [Sr₃O₉] layer + two [Sr₃NiO₆] layers. Figure 1 shows the stacking of the layers in the three Ni phases, n = 1, 2, and 3, known until now.

In the unit cell there are two crystallographically independent Sr atoms with different environments depending on the kind of layer in which they are situated. Sr1 atoms at the 36*f* position are in the Sr₃NiO₆ layers; as a consequence of the less oxygen contents in them, Sr1 is coordinated to eight oxygen atoms, with a mean bond distance of 2.64 Å. (This layer is formed by substitution of one Ni atom in place of three oxygen atoms.) Sr2 which is involved in the minority but richest in the oxygen group of layers, [Sr₃O₉], is thus situated at the 18*e* position and its coordination number is ten, the mean Sr2–O distance being 2.75 Å. Both averages agree with those found in the literature



FIG. 2. View of the two kinds of hexagonal layers perpendicular to the c direction. (a) [Sr₃O₉] and (b) [Sr₃NiO₆].



FIG. 3. Chains of NiO₆ polyhedra (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular to the c direction.

for eightfold and tenfold coordinated Sr in these compounds (5). Figure 2 shows both types of layers.

Ni atoms are all six coordinated. Ni1, Ni2, and Ni3 are octahedral but Ni4, that is that situated in the $[Sr_3NiO_6]$ layer, is at the prismatic site.

As a consequence of the stacking sequence octahedral sharing of opposite faces $[Ni_3O_{12}]$ trimeric and $[Ni_2O_9]$ dimeric groups are formed, which are connected through trigonal prisms, giving rise to chains parallel to the **c** axis with the sequences shown in Fig. 3. Distances Ni–Ni between the octahedral ones are quite similar, Ni2–Ni1 = 2.46(2) Å in the Ni2–Ni1–Ni2 trimeric group and Ni3–Ni3 = 2.48(3) Å in the dimeric group, practically the same as that in Ni metal (2.49 Å). In the joining with the prismatic Ni the differences are larger, Ni2–Ni4 = 2.55(6) Å and Ni4–Ni3 = 2.71(6) Å. This sort of packing gives rise to differences among nickel distances within the chain, but not to such an extent as in Ba₆Ni₅O₁₅, where the shortest is 2.34 Å and the longest is 2.81 Å.

Prismatic sites are not completely occupied. As indicated in the Experimental the population factor of Ni4 is 0.82(7). In this way, the content of Ni in the A' site per formula equals 1.64 instead of 2.

O4 has a higher temperature factor than the other oxygen atoms. After checking that its site is fully occupied, the explanation could be found in its position. This oxygen atom, that should be in the Sr_3O_9 layer in the ideal compact hexagonal packing, is shifted out of the layer toward the Ni3 atom, remaining nearly in a interlayer position. This fact allows a higher thermal vibration of this atom and agrees with the largest standard deviation in its **x** and **y** coordinates. In Fig. 1 the oxygen atoms out of the layer are labeled.

Several models have been proposed in order to establish the Ni valence in these compounds. According to formal ideas on chemical valence and the values of Ni–O distances, an ordered distribution of Ni^{4+} (low-spin), Ni^{3+} (low-spin), and Ni^{2+} was suggested for (6) $Ba_6Ni_5O_{15}$. Later an alternative valence distribution in which the Ni is present as Ni^{2+} and low-spin Ni^{4+} in an also ordered structure has been proposed (1).

For $Sr_{12}Ni_{7.5}O_{27}$ the nickel oxidation state was studied by calculations of the Coulomb terms of the lattice energy, concluding that the best model for this compound is the one in which the whole amount of Ni is formally Ni⁴⁺ (5, 12).

Taking into account the chemical formula obtained after refining the population factors of Ni(4) and the oxygen atoms $-Sr_9Ni_{6.64}O_{21}-$, with a mean oxidation state for Ni of 3.61, none of these models fits perfectly. One reasonable way to maintain the electrical neutrality is by assuming a total occupation of Ni⁴⁺ at octahedral sites (Ni1, Ni2, and Ni3) and thus a formal charge of 2.5⁺ in the prismatic sites. This 2.5⁺ can be reached by either a 50–50% occupation of Ni²⁺Ni³⁺ or a 25% of Ni⁴⁺ and 75% in the partially occupied (pp = 0.82) prismatic sites (Ni4).

This model could imply a small disorder around Ni4 that would justify the twice standard deviation for the z coordinate of this atom with respect to the remaining Ni atoms, as well as the high thermal parameter of Ni4, even after refining its population factor.

Depending on the Ni occupation in trigonal sites, different formulas can be obtained for the n = 2 structural type, from Sr₉Ni₅O₂₁ in which all the trigonal sites are vacant to Sr₉Ni₇O₂₁ in which all the prismatic sites are occupied and chains are not broken in any place. Sr₉Ni_{6.64}O₂₁ is an intermediate compound within the n = 2 range composition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Comisión Internacional de Ciencia y Tecnología for financial support (Project PB94-0031).

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Darriet and M. A. Subramanian, J. Mater. Chem. 5(4), 543 (1995).
- C. Dussarrat, F. Grasset, and J. Darriet, Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 32, 560 (1995).
- 3. J. J. Randall and L. Katz, Acta Crystallogr. 12, 519 (1959).
- 4. A. W. Powell, P. D. Battle and J. G. Gore, *Acta Crystallogr. C* 49, 852 (1993).
- M. Strunk and H. K. Muller-Buschbaum, J. Alloys Comp. 209, 189 (1994).

- J. A. Campá, E. Gutierrez Puebla, M. A. Monge, I. Rasines, and C. Ruiz-Valero, J. Solid State Chem. 108, 203 (1994).
- W. K. Ham, G. F. Holland, and A. M. Stacy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 5214 (1988).
- "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography." Vol. IV, pp. 72– 98. Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974.
- 9. N. Walker and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr. A 39, 159 (1983).
- J. M. Stewart, "The XRAY80 System." Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park, 1985.
- 11. J. K. Burdett and J. F. Mitchell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 6571 (1990).
- R. Hoppe, St. Voigt, H. Glaum, J. Kissel, H. P. Müller, and J. Kissell, J. Less-Common Met. 156, 105 (1989).